MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors are efficacious in MTAP-deleted malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor models

Xiaochun Zhang¹, Dana C Borcherding¹, Minjie Zhang², Himanshi Bhatia¹, Yang Lyu¹, Kevin He¹, Liuzhan Yang¹, Kuangying Yang¹, Heather DiBenedetto², Alice Tsai², Alan Huang², John P Maxwell², Kevin M Cottrell², Kimberly J Briggs², and Angela C Hirbe¹

¹Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, ²Tango Therapeutics, Boston, MA

Introduction

OBJECTIVES: Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNST) are highly aggressive ¬¬sarcomas with limited treatment options and poor survival rates, necessitating the development of novel therapeutics. Approximately 25-50% of MPNST harbor loss of the enzyme methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) due to a passenger deletion driven by loss of the proximal tumor suppressor gene, CDKN2A. PRMT5 was identified as a selective dependence in MTAP-deleted cells due to the accumulation of the substrate methylthioadenosine (MTA), which is itself an endogenous PRMT5 inhibitor. TNG908 and TNG462 are clinical stage MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors that demonstrate selectivity for *MTAP*-deleted cells over *MTAP*-intact cells of 15X and 45X, respectively. Previous reports show both molecules drive durable tumor regressions in xenograft models of various MTAPdeleted cancer histologies. Here, our objectives are to examine the activity of TNG908 and TNG462 in preclinical MPNST models.

METHODS: The proliferation effects of MTAcooperative PRMT5 inhibitors, TNG908 or TNG462, and a SAM-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitor, GSK3326595, on MTAP-deleted and MTAP-intact MPNST cell lines were determined using CellTiter-Glo (CTG) assays. TNG908 and TNG462 were further profiled in two *MTAP*-deleted MPNST patientderived xenograft (PDX) models, WU-356 and WU-386.

RESULTS: Incubation with the MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors, TNG908 and TNG462, selectively decreased the proliferation of MTAP-deleted MPNST cell lines relative to MTAP-intact MPNST cell lines. TNG908 and TNG462 drove dose-dependent antitumor activity including tumor regressions in the MTAP-deleted MPNST PDX models, WU-356 and WU-386, at well-tolerated doses.

CONCLUSIONS: MTA-The clinical stage PRMT5 inhibitors **TNG908** cooperative (NCT05275478) and TNG462 (NCT05732831) are efficacious in MPNST models in vitro and in vivo and are therefore promising therapeutic agents for patients with *MTAP*-deleted MPNST.

Methods

MPNST cell-lines (JH-2-079 and JH-2-009) were plated in 96-well plates at 1000 and 100 cells/well, respectively. The next day, cells were treated with MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors, TNG908 or TNG462, for 7 to 14 days. Assay length and cell density at plating were determined by doubling time of each cell line.

After 7- to 14-day drug treatment, the proliferation effects of MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors on MTAPdeleted and MTAP-intact MPNST cell lines were determined using CellTiter-Glo (CTG) assays.

Tumor samples were obtained from patients with NF1- or sporadic MPNST.

We queried our previously published WGS data to determine MTAP status.

Immunodeficient NRG mice were implanted with one of two MTAP-deleted MPNST patient-derived xenograft (PDX) lines, WU-356 and WU-386. When tumors reached a volume of 50-150 mm3, mice were treated with the indicated doses of TNG908, TNG462, or vehicle control Mice were dosed with drugs twice per day by oral gavage for 3-6 weeks.

Cancers with MT	AP deletion are mo	re v
to PRMT5 i	nhibition than norn	nal
	20	
	COMTAP	
	Chromosome 9	
MT	AP MTAP deletion	
X		
	мта мта МТА асс	umul
PRM	T5 TNG908 TNG462	PRI
×		
		— M
SDMA	MAT2A inhibition	
MTAP deletion ca	auses MTA to accumulate	-
MTA binds to and		
MTA-cooperative the PRMT5-MTA corr	 PRMT5 inhibitors selecti mplex. 	vely
	6462 can fully inhibit PRM	
	er cells while sparing nor	
demonstrated in ph	operative proof-of-mechai ase 1 update.	nism
	HAP1	
	MTAP-null	S
	potency	
TNG908	potency 110 nM	
TNG908 TNG462		
	110 nM	
TNG462 GSK3326595	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM	oitors
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to 0	110 nM 4 nM	
TNG462GSK3326595Table 1. Potency of MTcell line pair relative to 0viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhik GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhik GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhik GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhik GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhik GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop	
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908	erativ
	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 125 100 50 100 75 50 25 0 100 75 50 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	erativ o.oo
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ o,900 PNST) PNST) effic ST c
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ o.ooo PNST) PNST) effic ST c ndicat
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ o.ooo PNST) PNST) effic ST c ndicat
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ o.ooo PNST) effic ST c ndicat 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ ooo onst) PNST) effic ST c dicat 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erativ erativ effic ST c adicat 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays.	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erative erative operative operative effice adicate 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erative erative operative operative effice o
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 125 00 100 100 000 000 000 000 000	erative erative erative efficience solution efficience adicate 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erative erative erative effic solution offic adicat 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 125 00 100 100 000 000 000 000 000	erative erative openation efficient solution of the solution of the solution o
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to o viability assays. TNG 125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erative erative openation openation effice adication 4 da
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to of viability assays. TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG TNG	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 125 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	erative erative operative operative endication endication endi
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to d viability assays. TNG ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ⁰⁰⁰ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ⁰⁰⁰ ¹²⁵ ¹²	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 908 908 908 908 908 908	erative erative operative operative efficiency oper
TNG462 GSK3326595 Table 1. Potency of MT cell line pair relative to of viability assays. TNG 125 100 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	110 nM 4 nM 120 nM A-cooperative PRMT5 inhit GSK3326595, a SAM-coop 908 908 125 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	erative erative operative operative endication operative endication efficient operative endication efficient operative endication efficient operative endication efficient operative endication efficient endication endi



experimental design and technologies utilized in this study. The GeM cohort consists of 95 tumor samples from 90 tumors (61 NF1-related and 29 sporadic), including 7 tumor samples without FFPE available for pathology review and confirmation of main tumor diagnosis. B) Clinical information, histopathologic features and mutations in driver genes in the GeM cohort. Precursor lesions are marked by arrowheads. Sample labels not marked by an arrowhead correspond to high-grade MPNSTs. ANNUBP, atypical neurofibromatous neoplasms of uncertain biological potential; CN, copy number; GL, germline; INDEL, insertions and deletion; PV, pathogenic variant; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; S, sporadic; SNV, single-nucleotide variants.

Resu	lte
NESU	

	HAP1 MTAP-null potency	Selectivity in MTAP- isogenic cell lines
3	110 nM	15X
2	4 nM	45X
595	120 nM	No selectivity

of MTA-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitors in the HAP1 MTAP-isogenic e to GSK3326595, a SAM-cooperative PRMT5 inhibitor, in 7-day



operative PRMT5 inhibitors are efficacious and selective in MTAPcell lines. MTAP wild-type MPNST cells (JH-2-009) and MTAP-null cells were treated with the indicated doses of MTA-cooperative TNG908 or TNG462, for 7 to 14 days. Cell viability was examined ssay. Mean ± SEM.



on chemotherapeutic drugs are effective in MPNST cell lines but are ancer cells. A) JH-2-009 (MTAP wild-type MPNST), HEK293 (MTAP and JH-2-079 (MTAP-null MPNST) cells were treated with the ⁱ doxorubicin for 7 to 14 days, and cell viability was determined by y. B) JH-2-009, HEK293 and JH-2-079 cells were incubated with to 14 days and cell viability was determined by CellTiter-Glo assay.

Sample	CDKN2A Somatic structural variant (sSV)	MTAP sSV
JH-2-002 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
JH-2-002 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
JH-2-031 PDX	NA	Normal
JH-2-031 Tumor	NA	Normal
JH-2-055-b PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
JH-2-055-b Tumor	NA	Normal
WU-356 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-356 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-368 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-368 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-436 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-436 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
JH-2-079 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
JH-2-079 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
WU-487 PDX	Partial homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
WU-487 Tumor	Partial homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
MN-2 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Normal
MN-2 Tumor	NA	Normal
WU-386 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-386 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Homozygous microdeletion
WU-561 PDX	Partial homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
WU-561 Tumor	Partial homozygous microdeletion	Heteozygous microdeletion
WU-225 PDX	NA	Normal
WU-225 Tumor	NA	Normal
JH-2-023 PDX	Homozygous microdeletion	Normal
JH-2-023 Tumor	Homozygous microdeletion	Normal

Table 2. CDKN2A is lost in 9/13 NF1-MPNST PDX and MTAP is lost in 4/13 PDX lines.







- ✤ TNG462 is the first drug in which we have been able to demonstrate tumor regression in MPNST PDX models.

TNG908 and TNG462 are currently being evaluated in Phase 1/2 studies in MTAP-deleted solid tumors including MPNST. Funding: St. Louis Men's Group Against Cancer to ACH. Washington University in STL departmental funds to ACH startup for salary support for XZ. Tango Therapeutics for supplying study drugs and reagents.

Washington University in St.Louis SCHOOL OF MEDICINE **Division of Oncology**

Department of Internal Medicine

Results(continued)